IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6813 OF 2005

Manoj Gayaprasad Patwa ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri Prosper D'souza for the Applicant.
Ms M.H. Mhatre, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 19, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The Applicant is an accused in an offence registered under sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. Application for bail made by the Applicant has been rejected by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mumbai. The allegation against the Applicant is that he had withdrawn a sum of Rs.34,91,000/- from a P.P.F. Account by producing forged documents and representating him as one Cawasji Pardiwala who was the holder of a P.P.F. Account. The Applicant opened a bogus account and deposited the amount in the said account. The learned Sessions Judge has observed that a PAN Card in the name of Cawasji Pardiwala was produced at the instance of the Applicant which bears photograph of the Applicant. The learned Additional Sessions Judge has expedited

the trial and has directed that the trial shall be completed on or before 30th March, 2006. The learned Judge has also directed the Trial Court to submit the progress report in the first week of every month till the decision of the case. Considering the material on record to show complicity of the Applicant in a serious offence, no fault can be found with the view taken by the Additional Sessions Judge. Now the trial has been expedited. In view of the direction given for early conclusion of the trial, no case is made out for grant of bail. Accordingly, Application is rejected.

JUDGE